#### **Final Report**

#### For

#### 2018 Jordan River Watershed Assessment

#### **Prepared For:**

Friends of the Jordan River Watershed, Inc. P.O. Box 412 East Jordan, MI 49727

#### Prepared By:



739 Hastings Street
Traverse City, Michigan 49686
Phone: (231) 941-2230
Facsimile: (231) 941-2240
www.glec.com

#### **Principal Contact Persons:**

Michelle VanDenBrand mvandenbrand@glec.com

Dennis McCauley dmccauley@glec.com

#### INTRODUCTION

Great Lakes Environmental Center, Inc. (GLEC) has completed an assessment for the Friends of the Jordan River Watershed, Inc. at five tributaries to the Jordan River. The assessment consisted of the quantification of physical habitat and macroinvertebrate populations, in-situ measurements of water temperature, dissolved oxygen (DO), pH, and specific conductance, stream discharge calculations, and the collection of samples for total phosphorus (TP) and nitrate/nitrite-nitrogen (N) analysis at each of the five sites. The study was conducted to document existing conditions and serve as a baseline environmental assessment that would support future trend analyses.

Listed below are the five study sites that were sampled in 2018:

#### 1. Severance Creek at Mount Bliss Road:

Lat: 45.103551, Lon: -85.089728

#### 2. Bartholomew Creek at M-66:

Lat: 45.097862, Lon: -85.107849

#### 3. Sutton Creek at Mount Bliss Road:

Lat: 45.062311, Lon: -85.055542

#### 4. Cokirs Creek at Chestonia Bridge Road MDNR Access Site:

Lat: 45.060332, Lon: -85.071867

#### 5. Landslide Creek at Pinney Bridge Road:

Latitude (Lat): 45.012343, Longitude (Lon): -85.023313

#### **METHODS**

For each site, a 50 meter stretch of river, upstream of the coordinates and road/stream crossing, served as the study area. The Michigan Department of Environmental Quality (MDEQ) Great Lakes Environmental Assessment Section (GLEAS), Procedure 51 (P51), Qualitative Biological and Habitat Survey Protocols for Wadeable Streams and Rivers, Revised December 2008

(MDEQ 2008) was followed for a habitat and macroinvertebrate assessment at each study site. In-situ data, stream discharge measurements, and nutrient chemistry samples were collected and analyzed according to GLEC's Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs). GLEC's Nutrient Chemistry laboratory is certified by the National Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program (NELAP).

#### **Macroinvertebrate Collection**

The abundance and diversity of aquatic macroinvertebrate communities are commonly used as indicators of the overall quality of a stream. Assessment of the macroinvertebrate communities at five tributaries to the Jordan River was completed to characterize the stream condition at each location. All locations were assessed using the MDEQ GLEAS Procedure 51 which is accepted by both federal and state agencies as an accurate, consistent, and repeatable sampling and analytical protocol for Michigan streams.

Macroinvertebrate samples were collected from the five study sites on August 1, 2018. A D-frame dip net with 500-micron mesh was used to collect macroinvertebrates, in an upstream direction, from a 50 meter length of stream at each site. All available habitats were sampled, including fast and slow moving water areas, hard and soft substrates, vegetated areas, undercut banks, and woody material. Large cobble and logs were sampled by hand picking larger macroinvertebrates. Debris collected at each site was composited into a bucket with a 500-micron mesh screen bottom. Following collection, the debris in the bucket was placed in 1-Liter Nalgene bottles and preserved with 90% ethanol. Macroinvertebrate samples were returned to the laboratory where they were identified to the family level using a 10x dissecting microscope, until a total count of 300 or more organisms per sample was reached.

Scoring and interpretation of macroinvertebrate community data also followed the methods outlined in Procedure 51. A set of nine metrics was used to score community data in comparison to sites considered as Excellent within the North Central Hardwood Forests Ecoregion. Each metric was given a score of 1 (better than average), 0 (average), or -1 (worse than average). Scores for each metric were summed for a final site score. The Procedure 51 data results were entered into a spreadsheet and used to calculate the following nine metrics for each study site in order to provide a qualitative rating of the macroinvertebrate community.

- Total number of taxa. Taxa (taxa is plural for taxon, which refers to a taxonomic category, such as family, genus, or species) richness and species diversity are standard indicators of healthy and stable biological communities. This metric evaluates the total number of taxa found and rates diverse systems higher than monotypic communities.
- Number of mayfly taxa. The total number of mayfly taxa is used as an overall indicator of stream quality. Mayflies are, as a group, considered to be intolerant to pollution and degraded habitats. Their presence, in abundance, is therefore rated high in this metric.
- Number of caddisfly taxa. Like mayflies, caddisflies are pollution intolerant. Areas containing high numbers of caddisflies are given higher metric values. However, several species can tolerate varying degrees of habitat degradation.
- Number of stonefly taxa. Stoneflies are the most sensitive to, and intolerant of, poor water quality and degraded habitats. Their presence is often an indicator of excellent water quality.
- Percent mayfly composition. This metric weights the presence of mayflies in relation to the total number of species found. As with the total number of mayfly taxa, the percent composition of mayflies can drastically decline with stream quality degradation.
- Percent caddisfly composition. This metric weights the number of caddisflies found in relation to the total number of species found within the sample area.
- Percent contribution of dominant taxa. This metric calculates the ratio of the number of
  dominant taxa found to the total number of organisms collected. The results provide an
  indication of community structure and balance. Those areas dominated by few species, or
  composed of several taxa but strongly dominated by one, indicate lower quality systems.
- Percent isopods, snails, and leeches. Taxa from these 3 groups are tolerant to a wide variety
  and range of environmental conditions. High percent abundance of these animals is a good
  indicator of degraded stream habitats and low water quality.
- Percent surface air breathers. Surface dependent taxa are tolerant of poor water quality and
  refer to invertebrates that obtain oxygen through direct atmospheric exchange, usually at
  the air/water interface. High abundance of these animals is an indication of diurnal oxygen
  changes or other biological or chemical oxygen use. These taxa are also found in streams
  with higher temperatures and lower, erratic flows that typically have low or fluctuating
  dissolved oxygen concentrations.

The application of the Procedure 51 protocol results in a score based on a scale of -9 to 9; -9 to -5 is rated as Poor, -4 to 4 is rated as Acceptable, and a score greater than 4 is rated as Excellent. Generally speaking, flowing waters which harbor a high diversity of macroinvertebrates, including taxa sensitive to pollution and habitat degradation (e.g., mayfly, caddisfly, and stonefly taxa), are of high water and habitat quality. Water bodies with low diversity of the macroinvertebrate community often have very high numbers of tolerant organisms, due to their ability to thrive in degraded conditions with little competition or predation.

#### Habitat

The physical characteristics of each site were documented using MDEQ's Habitat Assessment Stream Card and Field Data Sheet, included in the 2008 Procedure 51 protocol. The following stream habitat characteristics were documented: riparian vegetation, watershed features, stream characterization, instream features, aquatic vegetation, water quality, and sediment characterization. Ten metrics were used to score habitat quality. Data collection was based on visual observations and best professional judgment.

# In-situ measurements of Water Temperature, Dissolved Oxygen, pH, Specific Conductance, and Stream Discharge

In-situ measurements of water temperature, dissolved oxygen, pH, and specific conductance were taken at each site using a YSI Pro DSS multiparameter meter. The meter was calibrated prior to use following the user manual and GLEC SOP FLD 6030. Measurements were taken at mid-depth of the thalweg (approximately the center of the stream current). Stream discharge was calculated using a series of width, depth, and velocity measurements from one cross-section of the stream. Velocity measurements were taken using an OTT MF Pro Stream Portable Flow Meter and following GLEC SOP FLD 6034.

#### **Nutrient Chemistry Samples: Total Phosphorus and Nitrate/Nitrite-Nitrogen**

Samples for total phosphorus and nitrate/nitrite-nitrogen were collected in pre-preserved glass sample bottles and stored on ice immediately after collection. Samples were submitted to the GLEC Nutrient Chemistry laboratory where they were stored at 0 to 6°C until analysis. Samples were analyzed according to GLEC SOPs: CHM 2001 and CHM 2008 and all tests were

performed within the maximum holding times. Results have met or exceeded QC criteria and are in compliance with The NELAC Institute Standards.

#### **RESULTS**

#### **Macroinvertebrate Assessment**

Macroinvertebrate samples from the five study sites in the Jordan River Watershed contained 39 different taxa. Chironomidae (midges) dominated the sample collections in three of the five study sites; Severance Creek, Bartholomew Creek, and Landslide Creek. Sutton Creek was dominated by amphipods, closely followed by Baetidae (mayflies) and Nemouridae (stoneflies). Cokirs Creek was dominated by Hydropsychidae (caddisflies), closely followed by Baetidae (mayflies) (Appendix 1). Invertebrates considered sensitive to poor water and habitat quality (i.e., mayflies, caddisflies, or stoneflies) were collected at all five sites. Taxa richness ranged from 17 to 26 taxa per site. The macroinvertebrate community scores at all five sites scored as either Acceptable or Excellent. Severance Creek, Bartholomew Creek, Sutton Creek, and Landslide Creek scored Acceptable tending towards Excellent and Cokirs Creek scored Excellent (Table 1, Appendix 1).

Table 1. Macroinvertebrate Community Ratings for the Jordan River Watershed Assessment, August 2018.

Study Site	Macroinvertebrate Community Score and Rating, August 2018
Severance Creek at Mount Bliss Road	2 (Acceptable tending towards Excellent)
Bartholomew Creek at M-66	2 (Acceptable tending towards Excellent)
Sutton Creek at Mount Bliss Road	4 (Acceptable tending towards Excellent)
Cokirs Creek at Chestonia Bridge Rd. MDNR Access Site	5 (Excellent)
Landslide Creek at Pinney Bridge Road	3 (Acceptable tending towards Excellent)

An additional description of the macroinvertebrate samples and community ratings from each site is provided below.

#### **Severance Creek at Mount Bliss Road**

Chironomidae (midges) dominated the macroinvertebrate sample (40%) collected at Severance Creek. A total of 20 different taxa were present including two families of mayflies, one family of stoneflies, and two families of caddisflies. These organisms are considered sensitive to poor water and habitat quality. The macroinvertebrate community score rated as 2 (Acceptable tending towards Excellent) at this location.

#### **Bartholomew Creek at M-66**

Chironomidae was the dominant taxa in this sample (24%), followed closely by Amphipods (scuds) (23%). Twenty five total taxa were found at this site including two families of mayflies, five families of caddisflies, and one family of stoneflies. The macroinvertebrate community score rated as 2 (Acceptable tending towards Excellent) for Bartholomew Creek.

#### **Sutton Creek at Mound Bliss Road**

Sutton Creek was dominated by Amphipods (21%), followed closely by Baetidae (mayflies) and Nemouridae (stoneflies) (both 20%). A total of 18 different taxa were found in Sutton Creek

including two families of mayflies, three families of caddisflies, and one family of stoneflies. The Sutton Creek community score for this location rated as 4 (Acceptable tending towards Excellent) for 2018.

#### Cokirs Creek at Chestonia Bridge Road/Access Site

Cokirs Creek was dominated by Hydropsychidae (caddisflies) (22%), followed closely by Baetidae mayflies (22%). Seventeen different taxa were found in 2018 including three families of mayflies and four families of caddisflies. No stoneflies were found at this site. The macroinvertebrate community score rated as 5 (Excellent) for this location.

#### **Landslide Creek at Pinney Bridge Road**

Landslide Creek was dominated by Chironomidae (33%). At this location, 26 different taxa were found including two families of mayflies, seven families of caddisflies, and one family of stoneflies. The macroinvertebrate community score for Landslide Creek was 3 (Acceptable tending towards Excellent) in 2018.

#### **Habitat Assessment**

The study sites were located in Michigan's North Central Hardwood Forest ecoregion. At the time of observation and sampling, all streams were running at stable, baseflow discharges with average depths ranging between 0.3 and 2.0 feet. Severance Creek and Sutton Creek were rated as Good and Bartholomew Creek, Cokirs Creek, and Landslide Creek were rated as Excellent during the rapid physical habitat assessment. Habitat assessment scores are presented in Table 2 and copies of the habitat field datasheets are included in Appendix 2.

Sedge meadow species dominated the riparian vegetation at Bartholomew Creek and Landslide Creek. Severance Creek was dominated by willows while Sutton Creek and Cokirs Creek were dominated by various pine tree species. In-stream aquatic vegetation was sparse at most of the study sites with the exception of Bartholomew Creek. Bartholomew Creek meanders through a wetland sedge meadow near the crossing with M-66 which resulted in 100% of the reach containing rooted emergent aquatic vegetation. The reach sampled in Cokirs Creek was

approximately 5% covered with rooted submergent aquatic vegetation while Severance Creek, Sutton Creek, and Landslide Creek had little to no in-stream aquatic vegetation.

Stream substrates for Cokirs Creek and Landslide Creek consisted of a mixture of sand, gravel, cobble, and boulder with Cokirs Creek dominated by cobble and Landslide Creek dominated by sand. Severance Creek, Sutton Creek, and Bartholomew Creek were dominated by sandy substrate with lesser amounts of silt, gravel, and cobble. Large woody debris was sparse at Severance Creek, Bartholomew Creek, and Cokirs Creek, present in a moderate amount at Sutton Creek and extensive at Landslide Creek. Undercut banks were extensive at Bartholomew Creek and Landslide Creek and sparse at the remaining sites. Overhanging vegetation was sparse to moderate at all sites except Bartholomew Creek where the entire stream was covered by overhanging wetland plants.

Table 2. Habitat Characterization Ratings for the Jordan River Watershed Assessment, August 2018.

Study Site	Rapid Habitat Score and Rating, August 2018
Severance Creek at Mount Bliss Road	152 (Good)
Bartholomew Creek at M-66	166 (Excellent)
Sutton Creek at Mount Bliss Road	138 (Good)
Cokirs Creek at Chestonia Bridge Rd./Access Site	171 (Excellent)
Landslide Creek at Pinney Bridge Road	171 (Excellent)

#### In-situ Measurements, Stream Discharge and Nutrient Chemistry Results

Water temperature ranged from  $14.4^{\circ}$ C at Bartholomew Creek to  $18.5^{\circ}$ C at Severance Creek. Dissolved oxygen ranged from 8.7 mg/L at Severance Creek to 10.5 mg/L at Landslide Creek. pH values were very similar for all sites and ranged from 7.84 to 7.98. Similarly, specific conductance readings had a narrow range between sites and ranged from  $374 \, \mu$ S/cm at Landslide Creek to  $471 \, \mu$ S/cm at Sutton Creek (Table 3).

Stream discharge ranged from 9,875 gallons/hour at Severance Creek to 809,165 gallons/hour at Landslide Creek (Table 3).

Landslide Creek had the lowest total phosphorus concentration (0.0057 mg/L) and highest nitrogen concentration (0.530 mg/L). Cokirs Creek had the highest total phosphorus concentration (0.0133 mg/L). Severance Creek had the lowest nitrogen concentration (0.051 mg/L) (Table 3 and Appendix 3).

Table 3. In-situ Measurements, Stream Discharge and Nutrient Chemistry Results for the Jordan River Watershed Assessment, August 2018.

Study Site	Water Temperature (°C)	Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L)	pН	Specific Conductance (µS/cm)	Stream Discharge (gallons/hour)	Total Phosphorus (mg/L)	Nitrate/Nitrite – Nitrogen (mg/L)
Severance Creek at Mount Bliss Road	18.5	8.7	7.95	441	9,875	0.0091	0.051
Bartholomew Creek at M-66	14.4	10.2	7.90	429	26,566	0.0103	0.268
Sutton Creek at Mount Bliss Road	14.6	10.4	7.98	471	19,876	0.0105	0.103
Cokirs Creek at Chestonia Bridge Rd./Access Site	17.2	9.1	7.87	399	11,466	0.0133	0.468
Landslide Creek at Pinney Bridge Road	15.4	10.5	7.84	374	809,165	0.0057	0.530

#### **CONCLUSIONS**

GLEC staff completed a macroinvertebrate and habitat assessment, recorded in-situ measurements for water temperature, dissolved oxygen, pH, and specific conductance, calculated stream discharge, and collected nutrient samples at five tributaries to the Jordan River: Severance Creek, Bartholomew Creek, Sutton Creek, Cokirs Creek, and Landslide Creek, during the summer of 2018. Sample collections for the macroinvertebrate and habitat assessment, and the scoring and interpretation of data, followed MDEQ Procedure 51, which describes qualitative biological and habitat survey protocols for wadeable streams. Summaries of the assessments and Procedure 51 results are presented in Tables 1-2. In-situ measurements, stream discharge, and nutrient chemistry results are presented in Table 3 and were collected and analyzed according to GLEC SOPs.

Severance Creek scored as Acceptable for the macroinvertebrate community and rated Good for physical habitat. It appeared that the stream channel had recently shifted to a new location during a high flow event and therefore the stream banks were only moderately stable and riparian vegetation had not yet reestablished along the immediate riparian zone. Deep pools were frequent and the bottom substrate was not yet fully prepared for colonization by macroinvertebrates. However, the stream channel was sinuous and the riparian zones on either side were wide and full of established, mature vegetation. Severance Creek had a low percentage of mayfly taxa and a high percent dominance of one taxa (chironomids). There was a low percentage of isopods/snails/leeches and of surface dependent taxa, and a high total number of taxa found.

The macroinvertebrate community rated as Acceptable for Bartholomew Creek because it had a low percentage of mayflies and high percentage of isopods/snails/leeches. However, 25 total taxa were found including a high number of caddisfly taxa. There were also very few surface dependent taxa found. The physical habitat at Bartholomew Creek rated as Excellent. The surrounding wetland meadow and mixed forest created excellent vegetative protection of the stream banks which resulted in stable substrates and maintained stream flow. The riparian zone was wide on both sides and was minimally impacted by human activities.

The macroinvertebrate community at Sutton Creek rated as Acceptable and the habitat scored as Good. Although Sutton Creek had the lowest percent dominance of one taxa of all the sites visited and a low percentage of isopods/snails/leeches, it also had a low percentage of caddisfly taxa and the highest percentage of surface dependent taxa of all sites visited which reduced its macroinvertebrate community score. The banks of Sutton Creek were stable and it appeared that the stream flow is maintained continuously with little evidence of high flow events. However, human activities (pasture) are impacting the riparian zone on the right bank and sediment deposition is causing some embeddedness of the substrate.

The macroinvertebrate community at Cokirs Creek rated as Excellent because it had a high number of mayfly and caddisfly taxa for that size stream and also a low percent dominance of one taxa, low percentage of isopods/snails/leeches, and low percentage of surface dependent taxa. The physical habitat at Cokirs Creek also rated as Excellent; it had a good mix of stable habitat to allow for colonization by macroinvertebrates, a stable stream channel, a good mix of bends and riffles, and good vegetative protection in the immediate riparian zone.

Landslide Creek had a low percentage of surface dependent macroinvertebrates, the highest total number of taxa found of all the sites visited, and seven different families of caddisflies. However, the percent dominance of one taxa (chironomids) was high and we would expect to find more mayfly taxa for this size stream. For these reasons, the macroinvertebrate community rated as Acceptable. The physical habitat, however, scored very high and rated as Excellent. Both banks were very stable and well protected from erosion due to established riparian vegetation. The channel had minimal alteration and it was evident that the stream flow is consistent. Large woody debris was found frequently in the channel which provides colonization potential for fish and macroinvertebrates.

For all study sites, in-situ measurements were within acceptable ranges for flowing waters in Northern Michigan and met or exceeded the Michigan surface water standards and criteria. The slightly higher water temperatures recorded at Severance Creek and Cokirs Creek may indicate that these creeks have a greater proportion of surface water input than the others. Stream

discharges were calculated to document existing conditions and serve as a baseline to support future studies.

GLEC's Nutrient Chemistry laboratory analyzed samples collected at each study site for total phosphorus (TP) and nitrate/nitrite-nitrogen (N) levels. Average background concentrations of TP and N in Michigan rivers are 0.0300 mg/L and 0.500 mg/L, respectively. In our experience working with Northern Michigan rivers, TP levels in good water quality streams range from 0.0030 mg/L to 0.0150 mg/L. TP values at all sites visited during this study fell within this range. N values between 0.010 mg/L and 0.100 mg/L are considered low in Michigan rivers and 0.500 mg/L and below is typical for Northern Michigan rivers. The highest concentration of N found at the study sites was 0.530 mg/L at Landslide Creek while Severance Creek and Sutton Creek had N values near or below 0.100 mg/L. Therefore, N values for all study sites fell within the typical range found in Northern Michigan rivers.

#### **Recommendations for Future Work**

This study was conducted to document existing conditions and to serve as a baseline environmental assessment that would support future trend analyses. It is recommended that these sites be visited again in three years.

#### REFERENCES

GLEC SOP CHM 2001. Standard Operating Procedure for the Determination of Total Phosphorus in Water Samples.

GLEC SOP CHM 2008. Standard Operating Procedure for the Determination of Nitrate plus Nitrite Nitrogen in Water Samples – Automated Hydrazine Reduction.

GLEC SOP FLD 6030. Standard Operating Procedure for Use of the YSI ProDSS Multiparameter Meter.

GLEC SOP FLD 6034. Standard Operating Procedure for Measuring Velocity and Discharge with an OTT MF Pro Portable Flow Meter.

Michigan Department of Natural Resources (MDEQ). 2008. Qualitative Biological and Habitat Survey Protocols for Wadeable Streams and Rivers (Procedure 51). Great Lakes and Environmental Assessment Section, Revised December 2008. Michigan Department of Natural Resources. Lansing, Michigan.

**Macroinvertebrate Communities** 

# Macroinvertebrate Scores for five study sites in the Jordan River Watershed Ecoregion: North Central Hardwood Forest

Severance Creek	Value	2018 Score
Total Taxa	20	1
Mayfly Taxa	2	1
Caddisfly Taxa	2	0
Stonefly Taxa	1	0
% Mayfly	2.8%	-1
% Caddisfly	24%	0
% Dominance	40%	-1
% Isopod, Snail, Leech	0.6%	1
% Surface Dependent	0.3%	1
	Total Score	2 Acceptable, tending towards Excellent

Bartholomew Creek	Value	2018 Score
Total Taxa	25	1
Mayfly Taxa	2	1
Caddisfly Taxa	5	1
Stonefly Taxa	1	0
% Mayfly	7.8%	-1
% Caddisfly	20%	0
% Dominance	24%	0
% Isopod, Snail, Leech	2.5%	-1
% Surface Dependent	0.9%	1
	Total Score	2 Acceptable, tending towards Excellent

<b>Sutton Creek</b>	Value	2018 Score
Total Taxa	18	1
Mayfly Taxa	2	1
Caddisfly Taxa	3	1
Stonefly Taxa	1	0
% Mayfly	21%	0
% Caddisfly	3.2%	-1
% Dominance	21%	1
% Isopod, Snail, Leech	0.9%	1
% Surface Dependent	1.2%	0
	Total Score	4 Acceptable, tending towards Excellent

Cokirs Creek	Value	2018 Score
Total Taxa	17	1
Mayfly Taxa	3	1
Caddisfly Taxa	4	1
Stonefly Taxa	0	-1
% Mayfly	29%	0
% Caddisfly	32%	0
% Dominance	22%	1
% Isopod, Snail, Leech	0%	1
% Surface Dependent	0%	1
	Total Score	5 Excellent

Landslide Creek	Value	2018 Score
Total Taxa	26	1
Mayfly Taxa	2	0
Caddisfly Taxa	7	1
Stonefly Taxa	1	0
% Mayfly	22%	0
% Caddisfly	21%	0
% Dominance	33%	0
% Isopod, Snail, Leech	1.9%	0
% Surface Dependent	0.5%	1
		3
	<b>Total Score</b>	Acceptable, tending towards Excellent

#### 2018 Macroinvertebrate Identification Results for Jordan River Watershed Assessment

	Order	Family	Severance Creek	Bartholomew Creek	Sutton Creek	Cokirs Creek	Landslide Creek
Nematoda							1
Oligochaeta			5	39	8	2	11
Crustacea		Amphipoda	26	73	72		
		Isopoda	1	1	2		1
Arachnoidea		Hydracarina	3	3	5	2	7
Insecta	Ephemeroptera	Baetidae		16	70	93	73
		Ephemerellidae	1	9		29	19
		Heptageniidae	8		1	2	
	Odonata	Aeshnidae	1	1	5	2	
		Calopterygidae	9			20	
	Plecoptera	Nemouridae	5	2	70		2
	Hemiptera	Gerridae		1	1		
		Veliidae	1	1	3		
	Megaloptera	Corydalidae	2			1	
		Sialidae	1	1			
	Trichoptera	Brachycentridae				2	23
		Glossosomatidae				25	
		Hydropsychidae	45	42	7	95	1
		Lepidostomatidae		11	3		50
		Limnephilidae		5			1
		Phryganeidae		1			
		Polycentropodidae					3
		Philopotamidae	31	5		17	4
		Rhyacophilidae			1		9
	Lepidoptera	Pyralidae					1
	Coleoptera	Dytiscidae		1			
		Elmidae	10	1	40	5	28
		Gyrinidae					2
	Diptera	Ceratopogonidae	2	1		1	2
		Chironomidae	128	75	49	85	139
		Dixidae		2			1
		Empididae	5	3			10
		Psychodidae				1	
		Simuliidae	36	18	4	50	20
		Tipulidae			1		3
	Gastropoda	Ancylidae	1				
		Hydrobiidae		1			1
		Physidae		6	1		6
	Pelecypoda	Sphaeriidae					8
		<b>Total Individuals</b>	321	319	343	432	426
		Total Taxa	20	25	18	17	26

**Habitat Evaluation** 

Severance Creek

#### APPENDIX J. STREAM CARD

Shaded fields are entered into data STREAM NAME	abase	J. STREAM CARD	
COUNTY/TOWNSHIP	ARCE CREEK	LOCATION (road crossing)	BUSS RD
		T R	S
LAT(dd)	LONG (dd)	RIVER BASIN JORDAN	RIVER
STORET#	The United States of the State	HUC CODE	ECOREGION
INVESTIGATOR(S)	DATE 8/1/2018	REASON FOR SURVEY	
J. Stricko M. Van DenBrand	TIME 1000 AM PM	□ Targeted: comment     □ Randomized: VSEC #	
	1000	VSEC description (eg. cold sm	all)
WEATHER CONDITIONS Current Sunny Partly Cloudy Cloudy Rainy	Has there been a significant rain in the last 7 days?  Yes No Don't Know Air Temperature	WATERSHED FEATURES Predominant Surrounding Land Use  Forest Commercial Field/Pasture Industrial Agricultural	Local Watershed NPS Pollution No evidence Some potential sources Obvious Sources  Local Watershed Erosion None
	Species: Willow  Oft (right) 100 ft	☐ Residential ☐ Other	☑ Moderate ☐ Heavy
STREAM CHARACTERIZATION Stream Subsystem Perennial Intermittent Lake Outlet Influenced Dam Influenced  Stream Origin Spring Fed Lake/Pond Swamp, Marsh, Bog Mixture of origins Other	Stream Modifications None Dredged Canopy Removal Snagging Impounded Relocated Bank Stabilization Habitat Improvement  Stream Type Coldwater Warmwater	INSTREAM FEATURES Avg. Stream Widthft  Surface Velocityft/sec (at thalweg)  Est. Survey Reach Lengthft²  Survey Reach Areaft²  Canopy Cover:% Shad	Est. Flowcfs fim  High Water Markft
AQUATIC VEGETATION  Rooted emergent  Rooted submergent  Rooted floating	☐ Free Floating ☐ Floating algae ☐ Attached algae	Portion of the reach with aquatic Nuisance aquatic plants or slime Dominant species present	
WATER QUALITY Temperature 66 °F  Water Samples Taken None 10 Other 17 Pt GA 10 GN MA 10 MN VOA 10 ON	Solids, Turbidity  Clear  Slightly turbid Turbid Floating solids Suspended solids Settleable solids Foams	Color Clear Stained Opaque Colored Other	Surface Oils Water Odors  None Normal/None Sheen Sewage Globs Petroleum Flecks Chemical Slick Fishy Other Other
SEDIMENT Sediment Samples Taken    None	Oils  Absent Slight Moderate Profuse	Sediment Odors  Normal/None Sewage Petroleum Chemical Anaerobic Other	Deposits None Sludge Sawdust Paper fiber Sand Relict shells

APPENDIX J (Continued) INORGANIC SUBSTRATE COMPONENTS ORGANIC SUBSTRATE COMPONENTS (should add up to 100%) (does not necessarily add up to 100%) Substrate Diameter % Composition in Sampling Reach Substrate Characteristic % Composition in Sampling Reach Type Туре Bedrock Detritus Sticks, wood, coarse >10" Boulder plant material (CPOM) Cobble 2.5"-10" Muck-Mud black, very fine Gravel 0.1"-2.5" organic (FPOM) 2040 45 Sand Gritty (course) Other Silt Gritty (fine) Clay slick Proportion of Reach Represented by Stream Additional Structure Available for Macroinvertebrate Colonization Morphology Types Extensive Moderate Sparse Absent X Riffle Undercut banks Run Overhanging vegetation M Pool M Large woody debris Depositional Aquatic macrophytes X Rootwads SITE LOCATION MAP Draw a map of the site and indicate the areas sampled (or attach a photograph) ☐ Further investigation necessary (explain) Obvious pollution source/expression LARGE WILLOW TREES \*STAFF GAUGE PLUNGE pool ABANDONED
CHANNEL 8 ISS 4 Flow MOONT

# SEVERANCE CREEK UST MOUNT BLISS RD 8/1/2018

#### Appendix J (continued)

#### HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET - GLIDE/POOL STREAMS

Habitat		Condition	n Category		
Parameter	Excellent	Good	Marginal	Poor	
Epifaunal Substrate/ Available Cover	Greater than 50% of substrate favorable for epifaunal colonization and fish cover; mix of snags, submerged logs, undercut banks, cobble or other stable habitat and at stage to allow full colonization potential (i.e., logs/snags that are not new fall and not transient).	30-50% mix of stable habitat, well-suited for full colonization potential; adequate habitat for maintenance of populations; presence of additional substrate in the form of new fall, but not yet prepared for coloniz ation (may rate at high end of scale).	10-30% mix of stable habitat; habitat availability less than desirable, substrate frequently disturbed or remov ed,	Less than 10% stable habitat; lack of habitat is obvious; substrate unstable or lacking.	
SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 (11)	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0	
	Mixture of substrate	Mixture of soft sand, mud	All mud or clay or sand	Hard-pan clay or bedrock	
2. Pool Substrate Characterization	materials, with gravel and firm sand prevalent; root mats and submerged vegetation common.	or clay, mud may be dominant, some root mats and submerg ed vegetation present.	bottom; little or no root mat; no submerged vegetation.	no root mat or vegetation	
SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	(15) 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0	
3. Pool Variability	Even mix of large-shallow, large-deep, small-shallow, small-deep pools present.	Majority of pools large- deep; very few shallow.	Shallow pools much more prevalent than deep pools.	Majority of pools small- shallow or pools absent.	
SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12/11)	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0	
4. Sediment Deposition	Little or no enlargement of island or point bars and less than <20% of the bottom affected by sediment deposition	Some new increase in bar formation, mostly from gravel, sand, or fine sediment; 20-50% of the bottom affected; slight deposition in pools.	Moderate deposition of new gravel, sand, or fine sediment on old and new bars; 50-80% of the bottom affected; sediment deposits at obstructions, constrictions, and bends; moderate deposition of pools prevalent.	Heavy deposits of fine material, increased bar development; more than 80% of the bottom changing frequently, pools almost absent due to substantial sediment deposition	
SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 (13) 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0	
5a. Channel Flow Status - Maintained Flow Volume	Water reaches base of both lower banks, and minimal amount of channel substrate is exposed	Water fills >75% of the available channel; or <25% of channel substrate is exposed.	Water fills 25-75% of the available channel, and/or riffle substrates are mostly exposed.	Very little water in channel and mostly present as standing pools.	
SCORE	10 (9)	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0	
5b. Channel Flow Status – Flashiness	Vegetation along the stream bank is complete nearly to the waters edge. Little or no evidence of frequent changes in discharge and/or frequent high water events that scours stream bank vegetation. Large woody debris (if present) stable and extending laterally across the stream channel.	Some evidence of bank scour approximately 4-8 inches above the waters surface. Large woody debris (if present) mostly stable and extending partially into the active stream channel.	Bank scour evidence 9-18 inches above the waters surface. Large woody debris (if present) tend to lay more against the stream bank rather t han extending into the active channel	Bank scour (>20 inches) along the stream channe I. Large woody debris are generally absent from the active channel and/or may exist as woody debris jams along the stream bank above the active channel.	
SCORE	10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0	
6. Channel Alteration	Channelization or dredging absent or minimal; stream with normal pattern.	Some channelization present, usually in areas of bridge abutments, evidence of past channelization, i.e., dredging (greater than past 20 yr) may be present, but recent channelization is not	Channelization is continuous but not recent (>5 years). Embankments without mature trees and dominated by grasses and shrubs.	Stream reach has been recently channelized (<5 years). OR Banks shored with gabion, rock, cement or bare earth. Instream habitat greatly altered or removed entirely. Bank vegetation	
	1200	present.		moderately dense to absent	

#### Appendix J (continued)

Habitat		Cond	dition Category		
Parameter	Excellent	Good	Marginal	Poor	
7. Channel Sinuosity	The bends in the stream increase the stream length 3 to 4 times longer than if it was in a straight line. (Note – channel braiding is considered normal in coastal plains and other low-lying areas. This parameter is not easily rated in these areas)	The bends in the stream increase the stream length 2 to 3 times longer than if it was in a straight line.	The bends in the stream increase the stream length 1 to 2 times longer than if it was in a straight line. (Note: lack of sinuosity may be due to channelization)	Channel straight; waterway has bee channelized for a long distance.	
SCORE	20 (19) 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0	
8. Bank Stability (score each bank)	Banks stable; evidence of erosion or bank failure absent or minimal; little potential for future problems <5% of bank affected.	Moderately stable; infrequent, small areas of erosion mostly healed over. 5-30% of bank in reach has areas of erosion.	Moderately unstable; 30- 60% of bank in reach has areas of erosion; high erosion potential during floods.	Unstable; many eroded areas; "raw" areas frequent along straight sections and bends; obvious bank sloughing; 60-100% of bank has erosional scars.	
SCORE (LB)	Left Bank 10 9	8 (2) 6	5 4 3	2 1 0	
SCORE (RB)	Right Bank 10 9	8 (7) 6	5 4 3	2 1 0	
9. Vegetative Protection (score each bank) Note: determine left or right side by facing downstream	streambank surfaces and immediate riparian 2 one covered by native vegetation, including trees, understory shrubs, or nonwoody macrophytes; vegetative disruption through grazing or mowing minimal or not evident; almost all plants allowed to grow naturally.	streambank surfaces covered by native vegetation, but 1 class of plants is not well-represented; disruption evident but not affecting full plant growth potential to any great extent; more than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption obvious; patches of bare soil or closely cropped vegetation common; less than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption of streambank vegetation has been removed to 2 inches or less in average stubble height.	
SCORE (LB)	Left Bank 10 9 Right Bank 10 9	(8) 7 6 (8) 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0	
SCORE (RB)  10. Riparian Vegetative  Zone Width (score each bank riparian  zone)	Width of riparian zone >150 feet and dominated by native vegetation including trees, shrubs, or non-woody macrophy tes or wetlands; vegetative disruption through grazing or mowing minimal or not evident; almost all plants allowed to grow naturally. Human activities (i.e., parking lots, roadbeds, clear-cuts, lawns, or crops) have not impacted	Width of riparian zone 75- 150 feet; human activities have impacted zone only minimally	Width of riparian zone 10- 75 feet; human activities have impacted zone a great deal.	Width of riparian zone <10 feet; little or no riparian vegetation due to human activities	
COORE (LB)	zone Left Bank	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0	
SCORE (LB) SCORE (RB)	Right Bank (10) 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0	
CORE (RD)	August Dank		constitute consistent to the relative to the constitution of	the property of the same of th	

Total Score_	152	
	Good	

Bartholomew Creek

#### APPENDIX J. STREAM CARD

STREAM NAME	The second second	LOCATION (road crossing)	
COUNTY/TOWNSHIP	DLOMEW CREEK		15T M-106
		T R	
LAT(dd)	LONG (dd)	RIVER BASIN JORDAN	RIVER
STORET#		HUC CODE	ECOREGION
INVESTIGATOR(S)	DATE 8/1/18	REASON FOR SURVEY	
J. Stricko	TIME 1105 AM PM	Targeted: comment	
M. Van DenBrand	TIME HOS CAN PIN	Randomized: VSEC #	
WEATHER CONDITIONS		WATERSHED FEATURES	
Current Sunny	Has there been a significant rain in the last 7 days?	Predominant Surrounding Land Use	Local Watershed NPS Pollution
Partly Cloudy	☐ Yes ☑ No	Forest	No evidence     Some potential sources
Cloudy	☐ Don't Know	☐ Commercial	☐ Obvious Sources
Rainy	Air Temperature°F	☐ Field/Pasture	
DIDADIAN VEGETATION		☐ Industrial	Local Watershed Erosion
RIPARIAN VEGETATION Indicate the dominant type and r	ecord the dominant energies	☐ Agricultural ☐ Residential	None
		Other WETLAND	☐ Moderate ☐ Heavy
☐ Grasses ☐ Herbaceous	SENSE METON	Za Galiel <u>Galler</u>	□ Heavy
☐ Grasses ☐ Shrubs ☐ Brasses ☐ Herbaceous Estimate buffer width (left) ☐ C	oft (right) 100 ft		
STREAM CHARACTERIZATION		INSTREAM FEATURES	
Stream Subsystem	Stream Modifications	INSTREAM FEATURES Avg. Stream Widthft	Avg. Stream Depth OS ft
Perennial	None		
☐ Intermittent ☐ Lake Outlet Influenced	☐ Dredged	Surface Velocityft/sec (at thalweg)	Est. Flowcfs
☐ Dam Influenced	☐ Canopy Removal ☐ Snagging	(at thalweg)	
	☐ Impounded	Est. Survey Reach Length 5	O ff m
Stream Origin	☐ Relocated		
Spring Fed	☐ Bank Stabilization	Survey Reach Areaft <sup>2</sup>	High Water Markft
☐ Lake/Pond ☑ Swamp, Marsh, Bog	☐ Habitat Improvement	Canopy Cover: 100 % Shade	
☐ Mixture of origins	Stream Type	Canopy Cover: 100 % Shade	on cours VEC
Other	☑ Coldwater	SHADED BY HEA	CBACEOUS VIDO
	☐ Warmwater		
AQUATIC VEGETATION			80
Rooted emergent Rooted submergent	☐ Free Floating	Portion of the reach with aquatic	
☐ Rooted submergent	<ul><li>☐ Floating algae</li><li>☐ Attached algae</li></ul>	Nuisance aquatic plants or slimes Dominant species present	s present? Yes 🗆 No 💆
WATER QUALITY	Solids, Turbidity	- Chilliant operator property	
Temperature 65 °F	Clear	Color	Surface Oils Water Odors
and the same of th		☑ Clear	□ None □ Normal/None
Water Samples Taken	Turbid	☐ Stained	☐ Sheen ☐ Sewage
None Other Tran		☐ Opaque	☐ Globs ☐ Petroleum
□GA □GN □MA □MN	(프로리크리크 중인대) 전에 대한 경기 (전 대한	Colored	☐ Flecks ☐ Chemical
UVOA ON	☐ Settleable solids ☐ Foams	☐ Other	☐ Slick ☐ Fishy ☐ Other ☐ Other ☐
SEDIMENT			Outer
Sediment Samples Taken	Oils	Sediment Odors	Deposits
None  Other		☑ Normal/None	None
□MS □ GS	☐ Slight	☐ Sewage	Sludge
□ VOA □ OS/BNA	☐ Moderate	Petroleum	☐ Sawdust
Looking at stones that are not	☐ Profuse	☐ Chemical ☐ Anaerobic	Paper fiber
deeply embedded, are the		Other	☐ Sand ☐ Relict shells
	s 🖾 No		Other

APPENDIX J (Continued)

		BSTRATE COMPONENTS	DIX J (Contin	ORGANIC SUBSTR	ATE COMPONENTS
N. b = 4 = 4 =	(should	add up to 100%)	0.1		ily add up to 100%)
ubstrate ype	Diameter	% Composition in Sampling Reach	Substrate Type	Characteristic	% Composition in Sampling Reac
edrock		O	Detritus	Sticks, wood, coarse	10
oulder	>10"	0		plant material (CPOM)	10
obble	2.5"-10"	0	Muck-Mud	black, very fine	
avel	0.1"-2.5"	20		organic (FPOM)	5
and	Gritty (course)	75	Other		
ilt	Gritty (fine)	5			
ay	slick				
orphology Riffle Run Pool Depositi	50 % 50 %		Undercut b Overhangir Large wood Aquatic ma Rootwads	Extensive anks Square anks Square anks Square and Squar	croinvertebrate Colonization  Moderate Sparse Absent  D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D
			P	Server of Market	W-66
				CLH TIZM	
		5(	THEROO	LOS EZ WIKED CON	

### BARTHOLOMEN CREEK UST M-66 8/1/2018

Appendix J (continued)

#### HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET - RIFFLE/RUN STREAMS

Habitat		Condition Category			
Parameter	Excellent	Good	Marginal	Poor	
Epifaunal Substrate/ Available Cover	Greater than 70% of substrate favorable for epifaunal colonization and fish cover, mix of snags, submerged logs, undercut banks, cobble or other stable habitat and at stage to allow full colonization potential (i.e., logs/snags that are not new fall and not transient).	40-70% mix of stable habitat; well-suited for full colonization potential; adequate habitat for maintenance of populations; presence of additional substrate in the form of new fall, but not yet prepared for coloniz ation (may rate at high end of scale).	20-40% mix of stable habitat; habitat availability less than desirable; substrate frequently disturbed or removed.	Less than 20% stable habita lack of habitat is obvious; substrate unstable or lacking	
SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 / 13 )12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0	
2. Embeddedness	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 0-25% surrounded by fine sediment. Layering of cobble provides diversity of niche space.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 25-50 % surrounded by fine sediment.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 50-75 % surrounded by fine sediment.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are more than 75% surrounded by fine sediment.	
SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 (13) 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0	
3. Velocity/Depth Regime	All 4 velocity/depth regimes present (slow-deep, slow- shallow, fast-deep, fast- shallow). (Slow is <1.0 f/s, deep is >2 ft.).	Only 3 of the 4 regimes present (if fast-shallow is missing, score lower than if missing other regimes).	Only 2 of the 4 habitat regimes present (if fast- shallow or slow-shallow are missing, score low).	Dominated by 1 velocity/depti regime (usually slow-deep).	
SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	(15)14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0	
4. Sediment Deposition	Little or no enlargement of islands or point bars and less than 5% of the bottom affected by sediment deposition.	Some new increase in bar formation, mostly from gravel, sand, or fine sediment; 5-30% of the bottom affected; slight deposition in pools.	Moderate deposition of new gravel, sand, or fine sediment on old and new bars; 30-50% of the bottom affected; sediment deposits at obstructions, constrictions, and bends; moderate deposition of pools prevalent.	Heavy deposits of fine material, increased bar development; more than 50% of the bottom changing frequently; pools almost absent due to substantial sediment deposition.	
SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	(15) 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0	
5a. Channel Flow Status - Maintained Flow Volume	Water reaches base of both lower banks, and minimal amount of channel substrate is exposed.	Water fills >75% of the available channel; or <25% of channel substrate is exposed.	Water fills 25-75% of the available channel, and/or riffle substrates are mostly exposed.	Very little water in channel and mostly present as standing pools.	
SCORE	(10) 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0	
5b. Channel Flow Status – Flashiness	Vegetation along the stream bank is complete nearly to the waters edge. Little or no evidence of frequent changes in discharge and/or frequent high water events that scour stream bank vegetation. Channel retention devices (if present) stable and extending laterally across the stream channel.	Some evidence of bank scour approximately 4-8 inches above the waters surface. Channel retent ion devices (if present) mostly stable and extending partially into the active stream channel.	Bank scour evidence 9-18 inches above the waters surface. Channel retention devices (if present) tend to lay more against the stream bank rather than extending into the active channel.	Bank scour (>20 inches) along the stream channel. Channel retention devices are generally absent from the active channel and/or may exist as woody debris jams along the stream bank above the active channel.	
SCORE	the prieath channel	8 7 6			

Barmolomen Creek

#### Appendix J (continued)

Habitat			-		Conditio	n Categ					
Parameter	Exc	ellent	7	Good	1 1	11. 15	Margir	ial	Poor		
6. Channel Alteration	Channelizat dredging ab minimal; stre normal patte	sent or eam with	present of bridg evidence channe dredgin past 20 present	channeliz t, usually te abutme ce of past lization, in the (greate tyr) may the but rece lization is	in areas ents; .e., er than be	Channelization is continuous but not recent (>5 years). Embankments without mature trees and dominated by grasses and shrubs.		Stream reach has been recently channelized (< years). OR Banks shored with gabion, roc cement or bare earth. Instream habitat greatly altered or removed entirely Bank vegetati moderately dense to absent.		elized (< anks bion, rock earth. It greatly ved vegetation	
SCORE	20 19 1	8 17 16	( 15) 14		12 11	10 9	8	7 6	5 4	3 2	1 (
7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	distance beth divided by w stream <7:1 to 7); variety key. In streat riffles are con	quent; ratio of ween riffles idth of the (generally 5 of habitat is ims where attinuous, f boulders or natural	Occurrence of riffles infrequent; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 7 to 15.  Occasional riffle or bend; bottom contours provide some habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 15 to 25.		shallow habitat riffles o	ally all fla v riffles; p ; distance fivided by stream is	boor between the wid				
SCORE		3/( 17) 16	15 14	13	12 11	10 9	8	7 6	5 4	3 2	1 0
Bank Stability (score each bank)  Note: determine left or right side by facing downstream.	Banks stable erosion or be absent or mi potential for problems, < affected.	nimal; little future 5% of bank	erosion over 5	mostly h -30% of t as areas	areas of ealed eank in	Moderately unstable; 30- 60% of bank in reach has areas of erosion; high erosion potential during floods.		Unstable; many eroded areas; "raw" areas frequent along straight sections and bends; obvious bank sloughing; 60-100% of bank has erosional scars.			
SCORE (LB)	Left Bank	(10) 9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
SCORE (RB)	Right Bank	(10) 9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0 -
9. Vegetative Protection (score each bank)	immediate rip covered by n vegetation, in trees, unders or nonwoody macrophytes	surfaces and parian z one ative neluding ttory shrubs, ; vegetative output grazing inimal or not sst all plants	bank su native v class of represer evident full plan to any g than one potentia	egetation	vered by but 1 not well- uption ffecting potential nt; more he ubble	d by bank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption obvious; patches of bare soil or closely cropped vegetation common; less than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.		Less than 50% of the stream bank sur faces covered by vegetation; disruption of stream bank vegetation is very high; vegetation has been removed to 2 inches or less in average stubble height.			
SCORE (LB)	Left Bank	(10) 9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
SCORE (RB)	Right Bank	710) 9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width score each bank riparian cone)	non-woody m or wetlands; disruption thr or mowing m evident; almo allowed to gra Human activity parking lots, clear-cuts, lar crops) have r	d dominated etation is, shrubs, or lacrophy tes vegetative ough grazing inimal or not st all plants ow naturally ties (i.e., roadbeds, wns, or	Width of riparian zone 75- 150 feet; human activities have impacted zone only minimally.  Width of riparian zone 10- 75 feet; human activities have impacted zone a great deal.		<10 fee riparian	f riparian t; little or vegetati activities	no on due t				
10	zone.			77		-					
SCORE (LB)	Left Bank	10 9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0

Total Score 166 Excellent Sutton Creek

#### APPENDIX J. STREAM CARD

STREAM NAME	N CREEK	LOCATION (road crossing)	SS RD
COUNTY/TOWNSHIP		T P R	S
LAT(dd)	LONG (dd)	RIVER BASIN JORDAN	RIVER
STORET#		HUC CODE	ECOREGION
investigator(s) J. Stricko M. Van Den Brand	DATE 8/1/18 TIME 1155 AM PM	REASON FOR SURVEY  Targeted: comment Randomized: VSEC # VSEC description (eg. cold small	all)
WEATHER CONDITIONS Current Sunny Partly Cloudy Cloudy Rainy  RIPARIAN VEGETATION Indicate the dominant type and	Don't Know Air Temperature 80 °F	WATERSHED FEATURES Predominant Surrounding Land Use Forest Commercial Field/Pasture Industrial Agricultural Residential Other	Local Watershed NPS Pollution  No evidence Some potential sources Obvious Sources  Local Watershed Erosion None Moderate Heavy
☐ Grasses ☐ Herbaceous Estimate buffer width (left)			
STREAM CHARACTERIZATION Stream Subsystem Perennial Intermittent Lake Outlet Influenced Dam Influenced  Stream Origin Spring Fed Lake/Pond Swamp, Marsh, Bog Mixture of origins Other	Stream Modifications  None Dredged Canopy Removal Snagging Impounded Relocated Bank Stabilization Habitat Improvement  Stream Type Coldwater Warmwater	INSTREAM FEATURES Avg. Stream Widthft  Surface Velocityft/sec (at thalweg)  Est. Survey Reach Lengthft^2  Survey Reach Areaft^2  Canopy Cover:% Shade	ft M High Water Markft
AQUATIC VEGETATION  ☐ Rooted emergent ☐ Rooted submergent ☐ Rooted floating	☐ Free Floating ☐ Floating algae ☐ Attached algae	Portion of the reach with aquatic Nuisance aquatic plants or slime Dominant species present	The second secon
WATER QUALITY Temperature 68 °F  Water Samples Taken None 75 Other GA GN MA MN VOA ON	Solids, Turbidity Clear Slightly turbid Turbid Floating solids Suspended solids Settleable solids Foams	Color Clear Stained Opaque Colored Other	Surface Oils Water Odors  None Normal/None Sheen Sewage Globs Petroleum Flecks Chemical Slick Fishy Other Other
SEDIMENT Sediment Samples Taken None Other SS GS VOA OS/BNA  Looking at stones that are not deeply embedded, are the undersides black in color?	Oils  Make Absent Slight Moderate Profuse	Sediment Odors Normal/None Sewage Petroleum Chemical Anaerobic Other	Deposits  None Sludge Sawdust Paper fiber Sand Relict shells

Sutton Creek

APPENDIX J (Continued) ORGANIC SUBSTRATE COMPONENTS INORGANIC SUBSTRATE COMPONENTS (should add up to 100%) % Composition in Sampling Reach Substrate Characteristic Substrate Diameter Type Туре Sticks, wood, coarse Bedrock Detritus 10 plant material (CPOM) Boulder >10" Muck-Mud black, very fine 2.5"-10" Cobble 10 10 organic (FPOM) 0.1"-2.5" Gravel Other Sand Gritty (course) Silt Gritty (fine) Clay slick

Proportion of Reach Represented by Stream Morphology Types Riffle 50 % Run 50 % Pool% Depositional%	Additional Structure Available for Macroinvertebrate Colonization  Extensive Moderate Sparse Absent  Undercut banks   Overhanging vegetation   Large woody debris   Aquatic macrophytes   Rootwads
	nd indicate the areas sampled (or attach a photograph)
☐ Further investigation necessary (explain) ☐ Obvious pollution source/expression	
MT BLISS	RD
	5
	Moory
	Swamp S Suspend S S Suspend S S Suspend S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S
	B Const
	Gr C
	FIELD PREMIES
	A Sale
	E.

# SUTTON CREEK UST MT. BLISS RD 8/1/2018

#### Appendix J (continued)

#### HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET - RIFFLE/RUN STREAMS

Habitat	Condition Category					
Parameter	Excellent	Good	Marginal	Poor		
1. Epifaunal Substrate/ Available Cover	Greater than 70% of substrate favorable for epifaunal colonization and fish cover; mix of snags, submerged logs, undercut banks, cobble or other stable habitat and at stage to allow full colonization potential (i.e., logs/snags that are not new fall and not transient).	40-70% mix of stable habitat; well-suited for full colonization potential; adequate habitat for maintenance of populations; presence of additional substrate in the form of new fall, but not yet prepared for coloniz ation (may rate at high end of scale).	20-40% mix of stable habitat; habitat availability less than desirable; substrate frequently disturbed or removed.	Less than 20% stable habita lack of habitat is obvious; substrate unstable or lacking		
SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	(10)9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0		
2. Embeddedness	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 0-25% surrounded by fine sediment. Layering of cobble provides diversity of niche space.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 25-50 % surrounded by fine sediment.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 50-75 % surrounded by fine sediment.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are more than 75% surrounded by fine sediment.		
SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	(10) 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0		
3. Velocity/Depth Regime	All 4 velocity/depth regimes present (slow-deep, slow- shallow, fast-deep, fast- shallow). (Slow is <1.0 f/s, deep is >2 ft.).	Only 3 of the 4 regimes present (if fast-shallow is missing, score lower than if missing other regimes).	Only 2 of the 4 habitat regimes present (if fast- shallow or slow-shallow are missing, score low).	Dominated by 1 velocity/deptl regime (usually slow-deep).		
SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0		
4. Sediment Deposition	Little or no enlargement of islands or point bars and less than 5% of the bottom affected by sediment deposition.	Some new increase in bar formation, mostly from gravel, sand, or fine sediment; 5-30% of the bottom affected; slight deposition in pools.	Moderate deposition of new gravel, sand, or fine sediment on old and new bars; 30-50% of the bottom affected; sediment deposits at obstructions, constrictions, and bends; moderate deposition of pools are valent.	Heavy deposits of fine material, increased bar development; more than 50% of the bottom changing frequently; pools almost absent due to substantial sediment deposition.		
SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	(10)9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0		
5a. Channel Flow Status - Maintained Flow Volume	Water reaches base of both lower banks, and minimal amount of channel substrate is exposed.	Water fills >75% of the available channel; or <25% of channel substrate is exposed.	Water fills 25-75% of the available channel, and/or riffle substrates are mostly exposed.	Very little water in channel and mostly present as standing pools.		
SCORE	10 (9)	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0		
5b. Channel Flow Status – Flashiness	Vegetation along the stream bank is complete nearly to the waters edge. Little or no evidence of frequent changes in discharge and/or frequent high water events that scour stream bank vegetation. Channel retention devices (if present) stable and extending laterally across	Some evidence of bank scour approximately 4-8 inches above the waters surface. Channel retent ion devices (if present) mostly stable and extending partially into the active stream channel.	Bank scour evidence 9-18 inches above the waters surface. Channel retention devices (if present) tend to lay more against the stream bank rather than extending into the active channel.	Bank scour (>20 inches) along the stream channel. Channel retention devices are generally absent from the active channel and/or may exist as woody debris jams along the stream bank above the active channel.		
	the stream channel					

Sutton Creek

#### Appendix J (continued)

Habitat			on Category			
Parameter	Excellent	Good	Marginal	Poor		
6. Channel Alteration	Channelization or dredging absent or minimal; stream with normal pattern.	Some channelization present, usually in areas of bridge abutments; evidence of past channelization, i.e., dredging (greater than past 20 yr) may be present, but recent channelization is not	Channelization is continuous but not recent (>5 years). Embankments without mature trees and dominated by grasses and shrubs.	Stream reach has been recently channelized (years). OR Banks shored with gabion, rocement or bare earth. Instream habitat greatly altered or removed entirely. Bank vegetat moderately dense to absent.		
SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	(15) 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 (		
7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	Occurrence of riffles relatively frequent; ratio of distance between riffles divided by width of the stream <7:1 (generally 5 to 7); variety of habitat is key. In streams where riffles are continuous, placement of boulders or other large, natural obstruction is important.	Occurrence of riffles infrequent; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 7 to 15.		Generally all flat water of shallow riffles; poor habitat; distance between riffles divided by the wid of the stream is a ratio of \$25.		
SCORE	20 19 18 17 (16)	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0		
Bank Stability (score each bank)  Note: determine left or right side by facing downstream.	Banks stable; evidence of erosion or bank failure absent or minimal; little potential for future problems. <5% of bank affected.	Moderately stable; infrequent, small areas of erosion mostly healed over. 5-30% of bank in reach has areas of erosion, high erosion, high erosion, of erosion.				
SCORE (LB)	Left Bank 10 (9)	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0		
SCORE (RB)	Right Bank 10 (9)	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0-		
9. Vegetative Protection (score each bank)	More than 90% of the stream bank surfaces and immediate riparian zone covered by native vegetation, including trees, understory shrubs, or nonwoody macrophytes; vegetative disruption through grazing or mowing minimal or not evident; almost all plants allowed to grow naturally.	70-90% of the stream bank surfaces covered by native vegetation, but 1 class of plants is not well-represented; disruption evident but not affecting full plant growth potential to any great extent; more than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	vegetation; disruption	Less than 50% of the stream bank sur faces covered by vegetation; disruption of stream bank vegetation is very high; vegetation has been removed to 2 inches or less in average stubble height.		
SCORE (LB)	Left Bank 10 9	(8) 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0		
SCORE (RB)	Right Bank 10 9	(8) 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0		
10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width score each bank riparian cone)	Width of riparian zone >150 feet and dominated by native vegetation including trees, shrubs, or non-woody macrophy tes or wetlands; vegetative disruption through grazing or mowing minimal or not evident; almost all plants allowed to grow naturally. Human activities (i.e., parking lots, roadbeds, clear-cuts, lawns, or crops) have not impacted zone.	Width of riparian zone 75- 150 feet; human activities have impacted zone only minimally.	Width of riparian z one 10- 75 feet; human activities have impacted zone a great deal.	Width of riparian zone <10 feet; little or no riparian vegetation due to human activities.		
			(1)			
SCORE (LB)	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 6	(5) 4 3	2 1 0		

Total Score 138

Cokirs Creek

#### APPENDIX J. STREAM CARD

STREAM NAME		LOCATION (road crossing)		
COUNTY/TOWNSHIP	EEL		DHA BRIDG	E ACCESS
		R	S	
LAT(dd) LONG (dd)	F	RIVER BASIN	AN RIVER	7
STORET#		HUG CODE	ECOREGION	
J. Stricko M. Van Den Brand TIME 120	AM PM	REASON FOR SURVEY  I Targeted: comment Randomized: VSEC # VSEC description (eg. cold sm	all)	
WEATHER CONDITIONS  Current	een a significant ast 7 days?  No now ature oF	VATERSHED FEATURES Predominant Surrounding and Use Forest Commercial Field/Pasture Industrial Agricultural Residential Other	Local Watershi No evidence Some poten Obvious So  Local Watershe None Moderate Heavy	tial sources urces
STREAM CHARACTERIZATION Stream Subsystem Perennial Intermittent Canopy F Ca	Removal  J ed d ibilization mprovement Ca	NSTREAM FEATURES  vg. Stream Widthft  urface Velocityft/sec at thalweg)  st. Survey Reach Lengthft²  urvey Reach Areaft²  anopy Cover:% Shad	Est, Flow	_cfs
AQUATIC VEGETATION  Rooted emergent  Rooted submergent  Rooted floating  Attached	algae Nu	ortion of the reach with aquatic uisance aquatic plants or slime ominant species present	vegetation 5 s present? Yes	_% □ No  ☑
WATER QUALITY  Temperature  OF  Solids, Turbin  Clear  Slightly tu  Slightly tu  Under Samples Taken  Other  Other  GA  GN  Suspender  MA  MN  Settleable  VOA  ON  Foams	urbid Asolids	Clear Clear Stained Opaque Colored Other	Surface Oils None Sheen Globs Flecks Slick Other	Water Odors Normal/None Sewage Petroleum Chemical Fishy Other
SEDIMENT Sediment Samples Taken Oils None Other Slight Slight VOA OS/BNA Moderate Profuse Looking at stones that are not deeply embedded, are the undersides black in color?	*0000	ediment Odors Normal/None Sewage Petroleum Chemical Anaerobic Other	Deposits None Sludge Sawdust Paper fiber Sand Relict shells Other	

APPENDIX J (Continued) INORGANIC SUBSTRATE COMPONENTS ORGANIC SUBSTRATE COMPONENTS (should add up to 100%) (does not necessarily add up to 100%) Substrate Type Diameter % Composition in Sampling Reach Substrate Type % Composition in Sampling Reach Bedrock Detritus Sticks, wood, coarse 15 Boulder >10" plant material (CPOM)

Sopple	2.5 - 10	20 22	Muck-Mud	black, very fine		
Gravel	0.1"-2.5"	20		organic (FPOM)	5	
Sand	Gritty (course)	20	Other			
Silt	Gritty (fine)	0				
Clay	slick	0				
Proportion of Reach Represented by Stream Morphology Types  Riffle 50 %  Run_ 45 %  Pool_ 5 %  Depositional_ 5 %		Additional Structure Available for Macroinvertebrate Color Extensive Moderate Sparse Undercut banks				
SITE LOC	CATION MAP	Draw a map of the site	and indicate the areas sa	ampled (or attach a photo	graph)	
	investigation necess		Talling Control			
	s pollution source/exp		BRIDGE HAS	A TOO LAKEE	FOR STREAM	
			CONCRETE	CULVERT 11	15791165	
			Charle	CE - IT	- anccel	
			CAUSING	SEDIMENT ]	DEPOSITION	
					Ť	
		11.0				
		1			V	
		/				
		7.			Cal	
			20		held	
	101500	2000	Pines			
	W AGES	5000	111100			
Fu	311	40				
1	1124					
4	- 11 8	(			-	
1	92					
-	C PROE TO					
	La Loc	000				
	0	00	7			
	0.0	0.00	POMTO			

COKIRS CREEK 8/1/2018

Appendix J (continued)

#### HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET - RIFFLE/RUN STREAMS

Habitat				
Parameter	Excellent	Good	on Category Marginal	Poor
1. Epifaunal Substrate/ Available Cover	Greater than 70% of substrate favorable for epifaunal colonization and fish cover; mix of snags, submerged logs, undercut banks, cobble or other stable habitat and at stage to allow full colonization potential (i.e., logs/snags that are not new fall and not transient).	40-70% mix of stable habitat, well-suited for full colonization potential; adequate habitat for maintenance of populations; presence of additional substrate in the form of new fall, but not yet prepared for coloniz ation (may rate at high end of scale).	20-40% mix of stable habitat; habitat availability less than desirable; substrate frequently disturbed or removed.	Less than 20% stable habitat lack of habitat is obvious, substrate unstable or lacking.
SCORE	20 19 (18 )17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
2. Embeddedness	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 0-25% surrounded by fine sediment. Layering of cobble provides diversity of niche space.	Gravei, cobble, and boulder particles are 25-50 % surrounded by fine sediment.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 50-75 % surrounded by fine sediment.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are more than 75% surrounded by fine sediment.
SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
3. Velocity/Depth Regime	All 4 velocity/depth regimes present (slow-deep, slow- shallow, fast-deep, fast- shallow) (Slow is <1.0 f/s, deep is >2 ft.)	Only 3 of the 4 regimes present (if fast-shallow is missing, score lower than if missing other regimes),	Only 2 of the 4 habitat regimes present (if fast- shallow or slow-shallow are missing, score low).	Dominated by 1 velocity/depth regime (usually slow-deep)
SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	(15)14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
4. Sediment Deposition	Little or no enlargement of islands or point bars and less than 5% of the bottom affected by sediment deposition.	Some new increase in bar formation, mostly from gravel, sand, or fine sediment; 5-30% of the bottom affected; slight deposition in pools.	Moderate deposition of new gravel, sand, or fine sediment on old and new bars; 30-50% of the bottom affected; sediment deposits at obstructions, constrictions, and bends, moderate deposition of pools prevalent.	Heavy deposits of fine material, increased bar development, more than 50% of the bottom changing frequently; pools almost absent due to substantial sediment deposition.
SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
5a, Channel Flow Status - Maintained Flow Volume	Water reaches base of both lower banks, and minimal amount of channel substrate is exposed	Water fills >75% of the available channel; or <25% of channel substrate is exposed.	Water fills 25-75% of the available channel, and/or riffle substrates are mostly exposed.	Very little water in channel and mostly present as standing pools
SCORE	10 (9)	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0
5b. Channel Flow Status – Flashiness	Vegetation along the stream bank is complete nearly to the waters edge. Little or no evidence of frequent changes in discharge and/or frequent high water events that scour stream bank vegetation. Channel retention devices (if present) stable and extending laterally across the stream channel.	Some evidence of bank scour approximately 4-8 inches above the waters surface. Channel retent ion devices (if present) mostly stable and extending partially into the active stream channel.	Bank scour evidence 9-18 inches above the waters surface. Channel retention devices (if present) tend to lay more against the stream bank rather than extending into the active channel	Bank scour (>20 inches) along the stream channel Channel retention devices are generally absent from the active channel and/or may exist as woody debris jams along the stream bank above the active channel.
	the pricatilities.		5 4 3	2 1 0

#### Appendix J (continued)

Habitat			n Category	
Parameter	Excellent	Good	Marginal	Poor
6. Channel Alteration	Channelization or dredging absent or minimal; stream with normal pattern.	Some channelization present, usually in areas of bridge abutments; evidence of past channelization, i.e., dredging (greater than past 20 yr) may be present, but recent channelization is not present.	Channelization is continuous but not recent (>5 years). Embankments without mature trees and dominated by grasses and shrubs.	Stream reach has been recently channelized (<5 years) OR Banks shored with gabion, rock cement or bare earth. Instream habitat greatly altered or removed entirely. Bank vegetatio moderately dense to absent.
SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	(15) 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	Occurrence of riffles relatively frequent; ratio of distance between riffles divided by width of the stream <7:1 (generally 5 to 7); variety of habitat is key. In streams where riffles are continuous, placement of boulders or other large, natural	Occurrence of riffles infrequent; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 7 to 15.	Occasional riffle or bend; bottom contours provide some habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 15 to 25.	Generally all flat water or shallow riffles, poor habitat, distance betwee riffles divided by the widt of the stream is a ratio or >25
SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
8. Bank Stability (score each bank) Note: determine left or right side by facing downstream.	Banks stable, evidence of erosion or bank failure absent or minimal; little potential for future problems <5% of bank affected.	Moderately stable; infrequent, small areas of erosion mostly healed over. 5-30% of bank in reach has areas of erosion.	Moderately unstable; 30- 60% of bank in reach has areas of erosion; high erosion potential during floods.	Unstable; many eroded areas, "raw" areas frequent along straight sections and bends; obvious bank sloughing, 60-100% of bank has erosional scars.
SCORE (LB)	Left Bank 10 (9)	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0
SCORE (RB)	Right Bank 10 (9)	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0
9. Vegetative Protection (score each bank)	More than 90% of the stream bank sur faces and immediate riparian z one covered by native vegetation, including trees, understory shrubs, or nonwoody macrophytes; vegetative disruption through grazing or mowing minimal or not evident; almost all plants	70-90% of the stream bank surfaces covered by native vegetation, but 1 class of plants is not well-represented; disruption evident but not affecting full plant growth potential to any great extent; more than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	50-70% of the stream bank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption obvious; patches of bare soil or closely cropped vegetation common; less than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	Less than 50% of the stream bank sur faces covered by vegetation; disruption of stream bank vegetation is very high; vegetation has been removed to 2 inches or less in average stubble height.
SCORE (LB)	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0
SCORE (RB)	Right Bank 10 (9)	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0
10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (score each bank riparian zone)	Width of riparian zone >150 feet and dominated by native vegetation including trees, shrubs, or non-woody macrophy tes or wetlands; vegetative disruption through grazing or mowing minimal or not evident; almost all plants allowed to grow naturally. Human activities (i.e., parking lots, roadbeds,	Width of riparian zone 75- 150 feet; human activities have impacted zone only minimally.	Width of riparian zone 10- 75 feet, human activities have impacted zone a great deal.	Width of riparian zone <10 feet; little or no riparian vegetation due to human activities.
	clear-cuts, lawns, or crops) have not impacted		-	
SCORE (LB)		8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0

Total Score 171 Excellent Landslide creek

#### APPENDIX J. STREAM CARD

STREAM NAME		LOCATION (road crossing)	Y BRIDGE ROAD
COUNTY/TOWNSHIP		T a R	<b>S</b>
LAT(dd)	LONG (dd)	RIVER BASIN JORDAN	RIVER
STORET#		HUC CODE	ECOREGION
INVESTIGATOR(S) J. Stricko M. Van Den Bland	TIME 1405 AM PM	REASON FOR SURVEY  Targeted: comment Randomized: VSEC # VSEC description (eg. cold sma	ill)
WEATHER CONDITIONS Current Sunny Partly Cloudy Cloudy Rainy	Has there been a significant rain in the last 7 days?  XYes No Don't Know Air Temperature 75 F	WATERSHED FEATURES Predominant Surrounding Land Use Forest Commercial Field/Pasture Industrial Agricultural	Local Watershed NPS Pollution  No evidence Some potential sources Obvious Sources  Local Watershed Erosion None
Indicate the dominant type and re	Species: Sedge meadow Fallen frees  ft (right)	Residential Other Welland	☐ Moderate ☐ Heavy
STREAM CHARACTERIZATION Stream Subsystem Perennial Intermittent Lake Outlet Influenced Dam Influenced  Stream Origin Spring Fed Lake/Pond Swamp, Marsh, Bog Mixture of origins Other		INSTREAM FEATURES Avg. Stream Widthft  Surface Velocityft/sec (at thalweg)  Est. Survey Reach Lengthft^2  Canopy Cover:% Shade	Est. Flowcfs ffm  High Water Markft
AQUATIC VEGETATION  ☐ Rooted emergent ☐ Rooted submergent ☐ Rooted floating	☐ Free Floating ☐ Floating algae ☐ Attached algae	Portion of the reach with aquatic Nuisance aquatic plants or slime: Dominant species present	vegetation% s present? Yes □ No 💢
WATER QUALITY Temperature 6 °F  Water Samples Taken None 5 Other 7 G GA GN MA MN VOA ON	Solids, Turbidity Clear Slightly turbid Turbid Floating solids Suspended solids Settleable solids Foams	Color Clear Stained Opaque Colored Other	Surface Oils         Water Odors           None         ☐ Normal/None           Sheen         ☐ Sewage           ☐ Globs         ☐ Petroleum           ☐ Flecks         ☐ Chemical           ☐ Slick         ☐ Fishy           ☐ Other         ☐ Other
SEDIMENT Sediment Samples Taken None Other SS GS VOA OS/BNA  Looking at stones that are not deeply embedded, are the undersides black in color?	☐ Slight ☐ Moderate ☐ Profuse	Sediment Odors Normal/None Sewage Petroleum Chemical Anaerobic Other	Deposits   None   Sludge   Sawdust   Paper fiber   Sand   Relict shells   Other

APPENDIX J (Continued)

		JBSTRATE COMPONENTS d add up to 100%)			ATE COMPONENTS rily add up to 100%)
Substrate Type	Diameter	% Composition in Sampling Reach	Substrate Type	Characteristic	% Composition in Sampling Reach
Bedrock		0	Detritus	Sticks, wood, coarse	30
Boulder	>10"			plant material (CPOM)	50
Cobble	2.5"-10"	5	Muck-Mud	black, very fine	10
Gravel	0.1"-2.5"	5		organic (FPOM)	10
Sand	Gritty (course)	89	Other		
Silt	Gritty (fine)	0	118 1		
Clay	slick	0	-		

Proportion of Reach Represented by Stream	Additional Structure Avail	able for Macroinverte	brate Colonization
Morphology Types	100 mg and 2 0 25 mg 4 10 30.	Extensive Moderat	te Sparse Absent
☐ Riffle%	Undercut banks	A D	
Kille	Overhanging vegetation		X D
Run_ <del>50</del> 75%	Large woody debris	A 0	<b>A</b> -
Pool 25 %	Large woody debris		
☐ Depositional%	Aquatic macrophytes		
	Rootwads	T K	
SITE LOCATION MAP Draw a map of the si	te and indicate the areas sampled (or atta	ach a photograph)	
☐ Further investigation necessary (explain) ☐ Obvious pollution source/expression			
downed pine tre			
downie	06		
0 110	t o		-
DINC			
I.			27
			0 .
			g
			1
			3
			_
	WETLAND		1
	WE ICH		)
		/	
1			11
		/ /	//
	ISCAND	1	7
	-646	12	
		-	. M
		0	wo
	77		FLOW)
	- /// LWD		
	- 1111/		
	LWD CWD		
	MAT		

## LANDSLIDE CREEK UST PINEN BRIDGE RD 8/1/2018

Appendix J (continued)

#### HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET - GLIDE/POOL STREAMS

Habitat		Conditio	n Category	
Parameter	Excellent	Good	Marginal	Poor
Epifaunal Substrate/ Available Cover	Greater than 50% of substrate favorable for epifaunal colonization and fish cover, mix of snags, submerged logs, undercut banks, cobble or other stable habitat and at stage to allow full colonization potential (i.e., logs/snags that are not new fall and not transient).	30-50% mix of stable habitat; well-suited for full colonization potential; adequate habitat for maintenance of populations; presence of additional substrate in the form of new fall, but not yet prepared for coloniz ation (may rate at high end of scale).	10-30% mix of stable habitat; habitat availability less than desirable; substrate frequently disturbed or remov ed.	Less than 10% stable habitat; lack of habitat is obvious; substrate unstable or lacking.
SCORE	20 19 18 17 (16)	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
2. Pool Substrate	Mixture of substrate	Mixture of soft sand, mud,	All mud or clay or sand	Hard-pan clay or bedrock,
Characterization	materials, with gravel and firm sand prevalent; root mats and submerged vegetation common.	or clay; mud may be dominant; some root mats and submerg ed vegetation present.	bottom, little or no root mat; no submerged vegetation.	no root mat or vegetation.
SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	(15) 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
3. Pool Variability	Even mix of large-shallow, large-deep, small-shallow, small-deep pools present.	Majority of pools large- deep; very few shallow.	Shallow pools much more prevalent than deep pools	Majority of pools small- shallow or pools absent.
SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
4. Sediment Deposition	Little or no enlargement of island or point bars and less than <20% of the bottom affected by sediment deposition.	Some new increase in bar formation, mostly from gravel, sand, or fine sediment, 20-50% of the bottom affected; slight deposition in pools	Moderate deposition of new gravel, sand, or fine sediment on old and new bars; 50-80% of the bottom affected, sediment deposits at obstructions, constrictions, and bends; moderate deposition of pools prevalent.	Heavy deposits of fine material, increased bar development; more than 80% of the bottom changing frequently; pools almost absent due to substantial sediment deposition.
SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	(15) 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
5a. Channel Flow Status - Maintained Flow Volume	Water reaches base of both lower banks, and minimal amount of channel substrate is exposed.	Water fills >75% of the available channel; or <25% of channel substrate is exposed.	Water fills 25-75% of the available channel, and/or riffle substrates are mostly exposed.	Very little water in channel and mostly present as standing pools.
SCORE	(10) 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0
5b. Channel Flow Status – Flashiness	Vegetation along the stream bank is complete nearly to the waters edge. Little or no evidence of frequent changes in discharge and/or frequent high water events that scours stream bank vegetation. Large woody debris (if present) stable and extending laterally	Some evidence of bank scour approximately 4-8 inches above the waters surface. Large woody debris (if present) mostly stable and extending partially into the active stream channel.	Bank scour evidence 9-18 inches above the waters surface. Large woody debris (if present) tend to lay more against the stream bank rather t han extending into the active channel.	Bank scour (>20 inches) along the stream channe I. Large woody debris are generally absent from the active channel and/or may exist as woody debris jams along the stream bank above the active channel
SCORE	across the stream channel.  10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0
6. Channel Alteration	Channelization or dredging absent or minimal; stream with normal pattern.	Some channelization present, usually in areas of bridge abutment s; evidence of past channelization, i.e., dredging (greater than past 20 yr) may be present, but recent channelization is not	Channelization is continuous but not recent (>5 years). Embankments without mature trees and dominated by grasses and shrubs.	Stream reach has been recently channelized (<5 years) OR Banks shored with gabion, rock, cement or bare earth. Instream habitat greatly altered or removed entirely. Bank vegetation
	~	present.		moderately dense to absent.

# Landslide Creek

#### Appendix J (continued)

Habitat		Cond	dition Category	
Parameter	Excellent	Good	Marginal	Poor
7. Channel Sinuosity	The bends in the stream increase the stream length 3 to 4 times longer than if it was in a straight line. (Note – channel braiding is considered normal in coastal plains and other low-lying areas. This parameter is not easily rated in these areas.	The bends in the stream increase the stream length 2 to 3 times longer than if it was in a straight line.	The bends in the stream increase the stream length 1 to 2 times longer than if it was in a straight line. (Note: lack of sinuosity may be due to channelization)	Channel straight; waterway has been channelized for a long distance.
SCORE	20 (19) 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
8. Bank Stability (score each bank)	Banks stable; evidence of erosion or bank failure absent or minimal; little potential for future problems. <5% of bank affected	Moderately stable; infrequent, small areas of erosion mostly healed over. 5-30% of bank in reach has areas of erosion.	Moderately unstable; 30- 60% of bank in reach has areas of erosion; high erosion potential during floods.	Unstable; many eroded areas; "raw" areas frequent along straight sections and bends; obvious bank sloughing; 60-100% of bank has erosional scars.
SCORE (LB)	Left Bank / 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0
SCORE (RB)	Right Bank (10) 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0
9. Vegetative Protection (score each bank) Note: determine left or right side by facing downstream	streambank surfaces and immediate riparian z one covered by native vegetation, including trees, understory shrubs, or nonwoody macrophytes; vegetative disruption through grazing or mowing minimal or not evident; almost all plants allowed to grow naturally.	streambank surfaces covered by native vegetation, but 1 class of plants is not well-represented; disruption evident but not affecting full plant growth potential to any great extent; more than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	streambank surfaces covered by vegetation, disruption obvious, patches of bare soil or closely cropped vegetation common; less than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption of streambank vegetation has been removed to 2 inches or less in average stubble height.
SCORE (LB)	Left Bank (10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0
SCORE (RB)	Right Bank (10) 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	Company of the second s
10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (score each bank riparian zone)	Width of riparian zone >150 feet and dominated by native vegetation including trees, shrubs, or non-woody macrophy tes or wetlands; vegetative disruption through grazing or mowing minimal or not evident; almost all plants allowed to grow naturally. Human activities (i.e., parking lots, roadbeds, clear-cuts, lawns, or crops) have not impacted zone.	Width of riparian zone 75- 150 feet; human activities have impacted zone only minimally.	Width of riparian zone 10- 75 feet; human activities have impacted zone a great deal.	Width of riparian zone <10 feet; little or no riparian vegetation due to human activities.
SCORE (LB)	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0
CONTRACTOR (ED)	most mediti	8 7 6	(5) 4 3	2 1 0

Total Score_	171
	Cu allent

**Nutrient Chemistry Report** 



Project Number: 2365-00

August 16, 2018

Jordan River 739 Hastings Street Traverse City, MI 49686 Attention: Michelle VanDenBrand

Project Description: Water Quality Samples

Dear Client,

Enclosed is a copy of your laboratory report relating to samples, as they were received. All tests were performed within the maximum holding times and have met or exceeded QC criteria. Test results are in compliance with The NELAC Institute Standards. Visit our web site for a full list of tests for which we are accredited through the New Hampshire Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program (NH ELAP).

Please don't hesitate to call if you have questions or require further information.

Sincerely,

Michelle A. Moore

Michillamore

Laboratory Coordinator and Research Scientist/Nutrient Chemistry



#### Great Lakes Environmental Center

Client ID:

2365-00

739 Hastings St., Traverse City MI 49686 - (231) 941-2230 - FAX: (231) 941-2240

Jordan River

#### **REPORT OF ANALYSIS**

Total Phosphorus

LabSampleID	SampleDescription	Sample Date Result	Units	Rep Limit	MDL Lab Qu	alifie AnalysisDate Comments	<u> Initials</u>
JR08010001	Servance Creek	8/1/2018 0.0091	mg/L	0.003	0.0022	8/10/2018	BSC
JR08010002	Bartholomew Creek	8/1/2018 0.0103	mg/L	0.003	0.0022	8/10/2018	BSC
JR08010003	Sutton Creek	8/1/2018 0.0105	mg/L	0.003	0.0022	8/10/2018	BSC
JR08010004	Cokirs Creek	8/1/2018 0.0133	mg/L	0.003	0.0022	8/10/2018	BSC
JR08010005	Landslide Creek	8/1/2018 0.0057	mg/L	0.003	0.0022	8/10/2018	BSC

LabQualifiers:

Page 1 of 1

U - Analyte not detected.

Thursday, August 16, 2018

J - Result between MDL and RL should be considered estimated.

**Method:** SM 4500-P F

#### Great Lakes Environmental Center

Client ID:

2365-00

739 Hastings St., Traverse City MI 49686 - (231) 941-2230 - FAX: (231) 941-2240

Jordan River

#### **REPORT OF ANALYSIS**

Nitrate/Nitrite-Nitrogen

LabSampleID	SampleDescription	Sample Date I	Result Units	Rep Limit	t MDL Lab	Qualifie AnalysisDate Comments	<u>Initials</u>
JR08010001	Servance Creek	8/1/2018 0.0	0507 mg/L	0.005	0.0038	8/15/2018	BSC
JR08010002	Bartholomew Creek	8/1/2018 0.:	268 mg/L	0.02	0.0152	8/15/2018	BSC
JR08010003	Sutton Creek	8/1/2018 0.	1026 mg/L	0.005	0.0038	8/15/2018	BSC
JR08010004	Cokirs Creek	8/1/2018 0	468 mg/L	0.02	0.0152	8/15/2018	BSC
JR08010005	Landslide Creek	8/1/2018 0.	530 mg/L	0.05	0.038	8/15/2018	BSC

LabQualifiers:

Page 1 of 1

U - Analyte not detected.

Thursday, August 16, 2018

J - Result between MDL and RL should be considered estimated.

**Method:** SM 4500-NO3(H)-N



# GREAT LAKES ENVIRONMENTAL CENTER, INC.

# CHAIN OF CUSTODY RECORD

739 Hastings Street Traverse City, MI - Laboratory Traverse City, MI 49686

Fax (231)941-2240 Phone (231)941-2230 www.glec.com

Submitting Company:		Se	Section II.				
4-17		,		, A	Section IV.		
Report Results To: MICHELLE Vande	VanDen Brand	1	Project Name: JOHA	JOHNAN KINEY	n-chui	nequested Analysis	t
	2 10 101	Pr	Project Number: 03	2365-00			eceip
Phone: E-n	E-mail:	P.C			- ~		on R
Section III		Ted .	M GLEC MLV	Client Client	03		Up
	Samp	Sample Information at Collection	t Collection	- 11	70		ple
	Sample Information	ation	rahor		1/		am
# GLEC No. Sample Identification	Date Time	Matric	Grab or	Filtered Sample Containers	7		f Sa
1		Charle	osite	Yor N Type	TI		Но
	+		H32641 1		XX		) F
$\neg$					×		22
LOKINS CYEEK					×		22
Landslide Greek	S/1/18 HDS	SVV G	4		×		22
6			haceu		× ×		22
7							
8		1					
Client Notes:			-				
RELEASED BY / ORGANIZATION	ATION	D	DATE TIME				
ignature van Den Brand 61	EL	18	6 1636	RECEIVED BY / ORGANIZATION Print Name & Organization	RGANIZATION	DATE	TIME
ization		18	1/18 1636	Signature &		97.10	
gnature				Print Name & Organization		-	6 .45.
				Signature			
emperature of Samples: Ø.   °C Initials: Motes/Anomalies/Discrepancies: C may subcontract out analyses that we do not perform	°C Initials: MLV	Bottle ID #	Bottle ID #, if applicable_3036		Received on Wet Ice		
MATRIX CODES:	S = SEDIMENT		E = ECCI   CNT				
	SW = SURFACE WATER		GW = GROUNDWATER			SL = SLUDGE	

GW = GROUNDWATER

AO = AQUATIC ORGANISM SL = SLUDGE